

Intergenerational Developmental Trauma Repatterning (IDTR)

Understanding and Repatterning Normalized Developmental Trauma in Mothers

A White Paper for Mothers and Helping Professionals

December 8, 2025

Alena Gomes Rodrigues

Founder of the Zen Supermom program

Trauma-informed coach and researcher

Doctoral student, Transformative Studies PhD Program

California Institute of Integral Studies (CIIS)

Abstract

This white paper introduces **Intergenerational Developmental Trauma Repatterning (IDTR)**, a framework developed in response to a specific, painful question: *Why do so many loving, competent mothers “lose it” with their children, even when they know better and have tried all the tools?* Drawing on trauma research, neuroscience, and years of non-clinical trauma-informed coaching practice, I describe a form of subtle but pervasive developmental wounding I call **normalized developmental trauma**.

Unlike shock trauma (abuse, violence, neglect), normalized developmental trauma is made of “a thousand paper cuts”: chronic misattunement, conditional approval, emotional invalidation, and identity-shaping messages such as “too much” or “not enough”. It leaves no obvious traumatic event to point to, yet shows up in adulthood as perfectionism, people-pleasing, over-functioning, burnout, depression or anxiety, and emotional reactivity - especially in motherhood.

IDTR integrates inner child healing, neuroscience-informed mental fitness, and language-based pattern rewiring to help mothers understand, repattern, and interrupt the intergenerational transmission of these patterns. This paper outlines the core concepts behind IDTR, situates them within existing trauma theory and research, and explains why I am now formalizing this work through a doctoral dissertation in transformative studies and trauma-informed practice.

It is written for non-academic readers, particularly mothers and helping professionals who want a conceptually clear yet accessible overview of what normalized developmental trauma is, how it manifests in everyday parenting, and why a structured, research-informed approach to repatterning it matters for both current and future generations.

1. Introduction: Why This Inquiry, and Why Now

The interest in this topic did not start in a library; it began in my kitchen. I started yelling at my daughter on the day of her first birthday. I was struggling to prepare her first cake while she was clinging to my leg and whining for my attention. That was the first moment I “lost it” with her. I wish I could say it was also the last, but it was not.

No amount of parenting courses, theories, or scripts that followed (and not even my previous experience in corporate leadership, Human Resources, coaching, and teaching communication and emotional intelligence) prepared me for motherhood.

As someone with a history of a happy childhood, with no obvious traumatic background - my ACE questionnaire score would be zero if anyone ever asked me (Felitti et al., 1998) - there seemed to be no reason why I should be turning into an out-of-control “monster” whenever I was running out of time, low on sleep, or when my daughter was crying, whining, or being clingy. I felt there was something deeply wrong with me, but I could not name what or why.

Over time, through my own healing and through working with hundreds of mothers and other clients, a pattern emerged that I could not find in the existing trauma literature. That pattern became the seed of what I now call **normalized developmental trauma**, and the non-clinical framework I developed to address it - **Intergenerational Developmental Trauma Repatterning (IDTR)**.

I am now formalizing this work as the focus of my doctoral dissertation. The purpose of this white paper is to share the conceptual foundations of IDTR with non-academic readers, to bridge practice and emerging scholarship, and to invite a more nuanced conversation about what is really happening when “good mothers” keep losing control with their children.

2. The Hidden Problem: When “Knowing Better” Is Not Enough

Many of the mothers I work with (including my earlier self) share a similar profile:

- They are educated, competent, and often high-achieving.
- They read books, attend courses, and listen to podcasts.
- They understand the principles of respectful or gentle parenting.
- They “know better”, and yet, in the heat of the moment, they still **shout, threaten, slam doors, or shut down** emotionally.

Afterwards, they are flooded with guilt and shame:

- “I sounded just like my mother/father.”
- “I am ruining my child.”
- “I should be able to control myself by now.”

What makes this even more confusing is that many of these mothers describe their own childhood as “**good**” or “**normal**”. They were not abused, starved, or placed in obvious danger.

And yet:

- they never felt fully seen or heard,
- love felt conditional on performance or compliance,
- emotions were inconvenient, “too much”, or ignored,
- mistakes were met with shame, criticism, or withdrawal.

These subtle, chronic experiences rarely register as “trauma” in the traditional sense, but they leave a very real imprint on identity, nervous system patterns, and later parenting. This is the terrain of **normalized developmental trauma**. Because it’s not about what happened, but what did *not* happen.

3. Normalized Developmental Trauma: Naming the “Thousand Paper Cuts”

Through my psychology and trauma theory review so far, I have not found a concept that accurately captures what many of my clients experienced: the “**one thousand paper cuts**” of unmet childhood needs and emotional misattunement.

Most trauma frameworks focus on **what happened** – single events or clearly defined chronic abuse. However, normalized developmental trauma is often about **what did *not* happen**:

- not feeling truly seen, heard, or understood as you are,
- not being allowed to feel “good enough” without constant achievement,
- being praised mainly for performance, compliance, and self-sacrifice,
- having emotional boundaries ignored or invalidated,
- learning it is safer to please, overachieve, or stay invisible than to be authentic.

I call this **normalized developmental trauma** because it is so common that it becomes invisible; it is often praised as “good upbringing” or “discipline”; and it is internalized as “this is just how families are” or “this is just how the world works.”

I am not naming this pattern to pathologize mothers or stick yet another label on the people already carrying the heaviest load; on the contrary. Normalized developmental trauma is the first framework that actually *removes blame* from mothers. It shows that their yelling, shutdown, anxiety, or burnout are not personal failures or character flaws, and definitely not ‘the price all loving mothers must pay.’ These patterns were inherited, learned, and reinforced by a culture that still glorifies self-sacrifice and treats maternal exhaustion as normal. Naming the pattern allows mothers to finally see that nothing is wrong with them. It gives them back their power, clarity, and agency so they no longer have to suffer through motherhood believing burnout and self-abandonment are inevitable.

The consequences of normalized developmental trauma do not usually look like PTSD.

Instead, they show up in adulthood as:

- relentless inner criticism and self-doubt,
- perfectionism and over-achieving,
- obsessive need for control,
- people-pleasing and difficulty saying no,
- avoidance, overthinking, and emotional numbing,
- chronic restlessness, burnout, and trouble setting or holding boundaries (Maté, 2012; Herman, 2015; van der Kolk, 2014).

For mothers who are overwhelmed, carrying the load of taking care of everything and everyone by themselves, not having enough time to do it all, and often breaking under time pressure, it may show up either as yelling and fighting with their children to be heard, or silent people-pleasing (repeating requests hundreds of times nicely, and forcing compassion through emotional blame and resentment).

When someone with this history and nervous system set up becomes a parent, their child inevitably meets these patterns. The child either adapts and becomes the new “good girl/boy”, tiptoeing around mother’s emotions. Or the child rebels and goes to the opposite extreme. In both cases, the original wound - *“I am not enough as I am, and my needs are a problem”* - is silently passed on. This is how the **intergenerational snowball** of normalized developmental trauma continues.

4. How IDTR Responds: Three Pillars of Repatterning

The **IDTR** (Intergenerational Developmental Trauma Repatterning) framework emerged as my response to this specific constellation of problems. It is a **trauma-informed coaching model**, not a clinical treatment; however, it is deeply informed by trauma theory, neuroscience, and parts work.

In its current form, IDTR integrates three main pillars:

1. Inner Child Work (Subconscious Mind)

- Based on in-depth psychological and expressive approaches (Jung, 1959; Capacchione, 1991),
- Identifies and works directly with the “younger you” who is still stuck in early experiences of shame, fear, or conditional love,
- Helps the adult self become a safe, validating caregiver to that inner child.

2. Neuroscience-Informed Mental Fitness

- Draws on Positive Intelligence and affective neuroscience to shift habitual mental pathways (Chamine, 2012; Damasio & Carvalho, 2013),
- Uses short, daily practices to strengthen self-awareness, self-compassion, and emotional regulation,
- Targets the “emotional autopilot” that drives reactivity, especially under stress.

3. Language-Based Pattern Rewiring (NLP-Informed)

- Uses carefully structured questions and reframes to surface hidden beliefs,
- Helps mothers notice and interrupt the internal scripts they inherited (“I am failing”, “My child is disrespectful”, “I must control everything”),

- Teaches them to become their own mental and emotional coach, and eventually a more conscious coach for their children (Grinder et al., 1981).

IDTR is currently delivered in a **non-clinical coaching context** and has been applied with hundreds of paying clients. Although the formal IDTR container (Zen Supermom program) lasts three months, the work does not end there - nor should it. The purpose of those three months is not to “fix” a lifetime of emotional conditioning, but to give mothers the tools, insight, and confidence to continue the repatterning on their own. The trauma patterns they are healing were created through hundreds or thousands of subtle emotional repetitions across childhood, and the new patterns also need repetition, just healthier ones. Many mothers share that after the program, they feel equipped to keep practicing independently: recognizing their triggers sooner, calming their nervous system faster, and repairing with their children more consistently. I can speak to this myself; I was my first client, and six years later, I still practice the same mental fitness tools daily. It became my new, healthier “autopilot”. The three-month structure is simply the beginning; the point at which the trajectory shifts and mothers no longer feel trapped in the old, inherited emotional autopilot.

5. Intergenerational Transmission: Why This Matters for Children

One of the most important observations from my client work is that **children’s behavior often shifts when the mother’s internal patterns shift**, even when no direct work has been done with the child.

Mothers report changes such as fewer meltdowns and power struggles, children becoming more open and affectionate, improvements in sleep and school performance, greater emotional

vocabulary, and self-regulation in the child, even for those who were previously diagnosed with ADHD, for example.

From a trauma and systems perspective, this makes sense (Felitti et al., 1998; Maté, 2012). Normalized developmental trauma is not just an individual issue; it is relational and systemic.

When a mother stops reading her child's behavior as "disrespect" and starts seeing it as stress, need, or developmental expression; stops attacking herself internally and begins responding with curiosity and healthy boundaries instead; and stops living from "I must control everything" and starts living from "I can feel, choose, and repair," the entire emotional climate of the family shifts. The mother becomes a living model of how to handle stress, time pressure, and personal boundaries. And because children learn far more from what their parents *embody* than from what they preach or teach, I believe that a resilient, emotionally healthy, and emotionally safe mother is the strongest role model for a child to grow up feeling secure, capable, and confident in navigating stress and pressure.

IDTR is designed to help mothers make these shifts at the **identity** and **nervous system** levels - not just at the level of parenting techniques. Most of the IDTR clients already *knew* what to do; they just couldn't do it when overwhelmed or under time pressure. That is why IDTR is an intergenerational intervention: it is not just about helping one mother yell less; it is about changing what her child internalizes as "normal" and "true" about love, boundaries, resilience, and self-worth.

6. From Practice to PhD: Why I Am Researching This

For several years, IDTR existed purely as an applied framework. I tested, refined, and expanded it in a non-academic setting with real clients, originally offering a money-back guarantee to ensure that no one was taking a risk on something unproven. Over time, something shifted. Clients no longer mentioned the guarantee at all; instead, they began asking how they could share their experience so that other mothers wouldn't feel alone. Many chose to tell their stories publicly on the Zen Supermom Podcast. That was when I realized the method was doing something important - not just helping individuals, but creating change that people felt proud to speak about.

However, I came to a point where **practice alone was not enough**. Several reasons pushed me toward formal academic research:

1. **Language and legitimacy.** I needed clearer, shared concepts to talk about what my clients and I were experiencing. “Normalized intergenerational developmental trauma” is my attempt to name a phenomenon that many mothers intuitively recognize but rarely see acknowledged in research or clinical practice.
2. **Contribution.** I believe normalized developmental trauma and its intergenerational transmission are missing pieces in the current trauma discourse. I want to bring this into conversation with existing trauma, ACE, and adult learning research (Felitti et al., 1998; Maté, 2012; Montuori, 2012).
3. **Building foundations for future certification and education.** This research is also a first stepping stone toward creating a formal certification path for other mental health professionals, educators, and helping practitioners. My ultimate goal is to bring awareness of normalized developmental trauma (and the daily “mental fitness” practices

that support its healing) into elementary education and trauma-informed counselling. I believe every counsellor, therapist, teacher, psychologist, and social worker should be able to recognize the symptoms of normalized developmental trauma and guide their clients or students toward healthier emotional patterns. For this to happen with integrity and consistency, the IDTR framework needs to be clearly defined, researched, and teachable. My doctoral dissertation is the beginning of that process.

For all these reasons, I chose to make IDTR and normalized developmental trauma the focus of my **PhD dissertation** in transformative studies and transdisciplinary trauma work.

In my doctoral research, I will not only document outcomes, but also clarify how mothers themselves describe the change process, explore the mechanisms that seem to drive transformation (e.g., inner child work, mental fitness, language shifts), and situate this work in relation to broader systems: family, culture, gendered expectations, and histories of colonization and patriarchy (Santos, 2014).

This white paper is the **public-facing companion** to that academic work: it is meant to be readable and relevant for mothers and practitioners, while still transparent about its theoretical roots.

7. What This Means for You as a Mother or Practitioner

If you are a **mother** who often loses your temper despite “knowing better”, feels like an imposter in your own life - high-functioning on the outside, overwhelmed on the inside - and you grew up in a “good family” but still carry a deep sense of “not enough” or “too much”, then the ideas in this paper may be naming something you have felt but never had language for.

You are not broken. There is a pattern here that is larger than you, and it can be repatterned. If you are a **practitioner**: coach, therapist, educator, or health professional who recognizes your clients in these descriptions, IDTR and the concept of normalized developmental trauma may offer:

- a way to explain what you see without blaming parents or romanticizing childhood,
- a structured but flexible framework for integrating inner child work, nervous system awareness, and belief change,
- a bridge between trauma-informed practice and practical, day-to-day parenting support.

At this stage, I am not inviting research participation yet; that will come at a later phase, along with a separate document clearly explaining the study design, ethics, and what participation would involve. For now, my hope is that this white paper validates the lived experience of mothers who feel confused by their own reactions, offers a clear concept - **normalized developmental trauma** - to hold that experience, and gives an overview of how IDTR is designed to respond to it in a grounded, research-informed way.

Even though this white paper is about theory and frameworks and methods, underneath all of that, this work is about something very simple and very human:

A child who does **not** have to grow up wondering:

- why they are burned out by 25,
- why they keep attracting partners who criticize or ignore them,
- why they feel anxious or depressed for “no good reason”,
- why they cannot focus unless they are in crisis,
- why it feels so hard to believe they are enough as they are.

Every time a mother chooses to look honestly at her own patterns instead of just blaming herself, every time she pauses before yelling, every time she repairs with her child and says, “It wasn’t your fault, I’m learning too,” she is changing the emotional inheritance of her family.

IDTR and the concept of normalized developmental trauma are my contribution to this wider movement. But the real work - the brave, imperfect, everyday work - is done by the mothers who refuse to give up on themselves or their children, even when they are exhausted, ashamed, or scared.

If you are one of those mothers, or one of the professionals walking alongside them, thank you. The changes you are making may never appear in a headline, but they will live in nervous systems, relationships, and family stories for generations. And that, in the end, is the kind of transformation no study can fully measure, but every child can feel.

References

- Capacchione, L. (1991). *Recovery of your inner child: The highly acclaimed method for liberating your inner self*. Simon & Schuster.
- Chamine, S. (2012). *Positive intelligence: Why only 20% of people and teams achieve their true potential—and how you can achieve yours*. Greenleaf Book Group Press.
- Damasio, A., & Carvalho, G. B. (2013). The nature of feelings: Evolutionary and neurobiological origins. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *14*(2), 143–152. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3403>
- Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P., & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *14*(4), 245–258.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8)

- Grinder, J., Bandler, R., & Andreas, C. (1981). *Trance-formations: Neuro-linguistic programming and the structure of hypnosis*. Real People Press.
- Herman, J. L. (2015). *Trauma and recovery: The aftermath of violence—from domestic abuse to political terror* (Rev. ed.). Basic Books.
- Johnson, R. B., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Turner, L. A. (2007). Toward a definition of mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(2), 112–133.
- <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689806298224>
- Jung, C. (2024). *The psychology of the child archetype*.
- Maté, G. (2012). Addiction: Childhood trauma, stress and the biology of addiction. *Journal of Restorative Medicine*, 1(1), 56–63. <https://doi.org/10.14200/jrm.2012.1.1005>
- Montuori, A. (2012). Creative inquiry: Confronting the challenges of scholarship in the 21st century. *Futures*, 44(1), 64–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2011.08.008>
- Pawson, R., & Tilley, N. (1997). An introduction to scientific realist evaluation. In E. Chelmsky & W. R. Shadish (Eds.), *Evaluation for the 21st century* (pp. 405–418). SAGE.
- <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483348896.n29>
- Santos, B. de S. (2014). *Epistemologies of the South: Justice against epistemicide*. Routledge.
- <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315634876>
- Tashakkori, A., Johnson, R. B., & Teddlie, C. (2021). *Foundations of mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). SAGE.
- van der Kolk, B. A. (2014). *The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma*. Viking.